

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Destiny Hoag,

Plaintiff,

V.

City of Henderson; Michelle Leavitt; Jeremy Cooley; Henderson Police Department; and Officer P. Duffy, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-00681-JAD-DJA

Order

City of Henderson; Michelle Leavitt; Jeremy Cooley; Henderson Police Department; and Officer P. Duffy, et al.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on the Court's review of the docket. Plaintiff has filed a certificate of service, purporting to have served Defendants the City of Henderson, Jeremy Cooley, Michelle Leavitt, the Henderson Police Department, and Officer P. Duffy via certified mail. (ECF No. 4). Plaintiff also filed a certificate of service purporting to have served the Attorney General via certified mail. (ECF No. 5).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(e)(2) and Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 4.2(a)¹ — governing service on an individual—do not provide for service of a summons by mail. Neither do Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(j)(2)(A) and Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 4.2(d)², which govern service on state or local governments, subdivisions, public entities, and their officers and employees. Additionally, the deadline for service under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) has passed. It also appears from the docket that Plaintiff has not provided any summonses to the clerk for signature and seal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(b). So, it is unclear whether

¹ Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(e)(1) allows for service by “following state law for serving a summons in an action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the district court is located or where service is made...”

² Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(j)(2)(B) allows for service of the summons and complaint by “serving a copy of each in the manner prescribe by that state’s law for serving a summons or like process on such a defendant.”

1 she served any summonses on the Defendants as required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
2 4(c).

3 Because it appears that Plaintiff was confused about proper methods of service, the Court
4 will extend the deadline for service for thirty days. Plaintiff must present properly completed
5 summonses³ to the Clerk of Court for signature and seal as outlined in Federal Rule of Civil
6 Procedure 4(b). Plaintiff must then file a proof of service showing that she served a summons
7 and complaint on each Defendant on or before November 20, 2023. If Plaintiff needs more time,
8 she may file a motion to extend the service deadline. She must file this motion on or before
9 November 20, 2023.

10

11 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff must present properly completed
12 summonses to the Clerk of Court for signature and seal as outlined in Federal Rule of Civil
13 Procedure 4(b). Plaintiff must then file proofs of service showing that she served a summons and
14 complaint on each Defendant on or before **November 20, 2023**. If Plaintiff needs more time, she
15 must file a motion to extend time in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) on or
16 before **November 20, 2023**. Failure to comply with this order may result in the undersigned
17 magistrate judge issuing a recommendation of dismissal to the assigned district judge.

18

19

DATED: October 19, 2023

20

21


DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

³ This Court's summons form can be found at <https://www.nvd.uscourts.gov/court-information/forms/> under Code AO 440 – Summons in a Civil Action.